not have to pay for the contamination of the water supplies that they caused, nor will they have to pay to acquire new water sources for hundreds of thousands of customers.

Nullify pending litigation against MTBE producers, leaving hundreds of thousands of people without recourse—There are currently 130 communities and water suppliers across the nation that have litigation pending to reclaim damages for MTBE pollution of public drinking water sources. Because this bill is retroactive, taking effect for lawsuits pending on September 5, 2003, all of these lawsuits would be nullified.

The MTBE provisions contained in the Energy Policy Act of 2003 benefit the wrongdoers and have a number of harmful consequences for the victims of drinking water contamination. Any policy that has the effect of leaving hundreds of thousands of victims without any recourse against their wrongdoers is bad policy.

NATIONAL DIABETES MONTH

HON. DOUG OSE

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, November 19, 2003

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, as a member of the Diabetes Caucus, I rise today in honor of National Diabetes Month. Diabetes is a growing concern in this country as each year increasing numbers of Americans are being diagnosed with the disease. The disease does not discriminate; children, adults and senior citizens alike are realizing the devastating impact of diabetes and its tragic effects have touched the lives of Americans across the country.

Diabetes itself is debilitating, but it can also lead to heart, kidney, nervous system or dental diseases, as well as blindness, high blood pressure, complications during pregnancy, strokes, and even death. Today, 17 million people live with diabetes and approximately 1 million new cases are diagnosed each year in people over the age of 20. It is the sixth leading cause of death in the United States, with 19 percent of Americans over the age of 25 losing their lives to diabetes each year. The statistic that 1 million children have been diagnosed with juvenile diabetes is particularly unnerving.

In my home state of California, every half-hour a life is lost due to causes directly or indirectly linked to diabetes. Currently, there are two million Californians who have been diagnosed with diabetes, putting California's average above the national rate. That number is expected to double by the year 2020.

Organizations such as the Juvenile Research Fund are vital to research efforts to find a cure for diabetes. In addition to conducting its own research, JDRF provides valuable outreach programs in schools and the community to educate the public on diabetes related issues.

This past June, the Sacramento chapter of JDRF sent two of my constituents, Juleah Cordi and Gianna Gallo, to the Children's Congress. At this conference, children afflicted with diabetes spoke with Members of Congress to raise awareness of this debilitating disease. As a congressional co-chair of this event, I would like to thank Juleah, Gianna and other Children's Congress participants for their help in bringing attention to this issue.

The cost of diabetes is rising, both in terms of the cost to treat the disease and the number of American lives lost resulting from complications relating to the disease. We must support the National Institute of Health's funding for diabetes research so that organizations like JDRF may continue to provide preventative education and help curb the spread of the disease. Education is a key component in preventative efforts, by encouraging individuals to make life-style changes that will reduce their risk of getting diabetes.

Mr. Speaker, we have made great strides over the years in diabetes research and outreach education. I applaud the many organizations that have contributed to this effort and I urge my colleagues to join me in honoring National Diabetes Month. Let's help give those Americans living with diabetes hope that one day soon, we will find a cure to diabetes.

PAYING TRIBUTE TO RICHARD WREN

HON. SCOTT McINNIS

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, November 19, 2003

Mr. McINNIS. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pride that I pay tribute today to Police Captain Richard Wren of La Junta, Colorado. Recently, Richard was honored by the La Junta City Council for two decades of honorable service. Richard has dedicated his life to serving and protecting the citizens of Colorado and it is my honor to call his many contributions to the attention of this body of Congress here today.

Richard was born in Denver, Colorado and moved to La Junta to attend Otero Junior College in 1980. Upon graduation, Richard attended the Law Enforcement Academy in Trinidad and in 1983 he became a patrolman for the La Junta Police Department. He rose quickly through the ranks to achieve his status as Captain.

Richard has achieved a great deal in his tenure with the La Junta Police Department. Richard is an expert in canine police work. During his career, he established the La Junta canine program and attended two national competitions for the United States Police Canine Association. In 2002, Richard furthered his law enforcement education by attending the National Federal Bureau of Investigation's Academy in Quantico, Virginia. In addition, Richard is an expert in firearms and patrol procedures, and he holds teaching certificates in both of those disciplines.

Mr. Speaker, it is my honor to rise and pay tribute to Captain Richard Wren before this body of Congress and this nation. Richard has managed to balance his tireless dedication to the citizens of La Junta, while gladly serving as a loving father and husband as well. The Citizens of La Junta Colorado are safer as the result of Richard's tireless dedication to their well-being and it is my honor to join them in thanking him for his service.

H.R. 1588, DEFENSE AUTHORIZA-TION CONFERENCE REPORT

HON. MARK UDALL

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, November 19, 2003

Mr. UDALL of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, when this House voted on H.R. 1588 in May, I voted against it. I didn't think the bill as it stood then was one I could endorse. The conference report that we are considering today is marginally better. Although I still have strong reservations, I will support the conference report.

We are 2 years into our war on terrorism and still engaged in military action in Iraq. There is no doubt that we must continue to focus on defending our homeland against terrorism, we must support our military personnel, and we must give our military the training, equipment, and weapons it needs to beat terrorism around the world.

That's why I'm in favor of provisions in the bill that support those men and women who have put their lives on the line in Afghanistan and Iraq. The bill provides an average 4.15 percent pay raise for service members, boosts military special pay and extends bonuses, and funds programs to improve living and working facilities on military installations.

I am pleased that the report includes provisions recognizing the importance of non-citizen soldiers and the many sacrifices and contributions they have made. The report eases the naturalization process for these soldiers and their families, reducing to one year the length of service requirement for naturalization during peacetime; allowing soldiers to apply and take oaths for citizenship overseas; and granting permanent resident status to the surviving family of U.S. citizen soldiers who are granted posthumous citizenship as a result of death incurred in combat.

I'm also pleased that this bill will allow approximately one-third of eligible disabled military retirees to receive both their retirement and disability benefits. I would have preferred that the bill extend this "concurrent receipt" to all disabled retirees, but this is a great improvement on the bill the House considered earlier this year—which included no such provisions. I am also pleased that the bill extends the military's TRICARE health coverage to National Guard and reservists and their families if servicemembers have been called to active duty. These are all necessary and important provisions that I support.

I do have a number of serious reservations about the bill.

I don't believe it addresses 21st century threats as well as it could. With the exception of the Crusader artillery system, the Administration and Congress have continued every major weapons system inherited from previous administrations. So although the bill brings overall defense spending to levels 13 percent higher than the average Cold War levels, it doesn't present a coherent vision of how to realign our defense priorities.

The bill still includes provisions that would exempt the Department of Defense from compliance with some requirements under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA). There is broad-based support for existing environmental laws—as there should be—and these laws already allow case-by-case flexibility to